

Physico Chemical Study of Hydrochemical Parameters of Groundwater in Okehi Local Govrnment, North Central Nigeria.

Raheem, Muideen Olawale

Physics department, p.m.b 1026, federal college of education, okene, kogi state, nigeria.

Submitted: 01-06-2021	Revised: 14-06-2021	Accepted: 16-06-2021
Subilitied. 01-00-2021	Keviseu. 14-00-2021	Accepted. 10-00-2021

ABSTRACT: Major elemental composition of ground water in some parts of Okehi Local Government area in North central Nigeria were investigated. Twenty two water samples were collected from open and hand dug wells for hydrochemical analysis. In situ measurements such as conductivity, well depths and water column were also carried out. The hydochemical analysis results indicates mean anionic dominance of the anions in the order of Co_3^{2-} (124.41mg/L)>Cl⁻ (65.86mg/L)> PO_4^{2-} (17.93mg/L)> SO_4^{2-} (14.41mg/L)> NO_3^{-} (8.80 mg/L) > F (0.11 mg/L), whereas, the mean cationic dominance are in order of Ca^{2+} $(37.68 \text{mg/L}) > \text{Na}^+ (25.23 \text{mg/L}) > \text{K}^+ (10.65 \text{mg/L})$ $> Mg^{2+}$ (14.13mg/L) $> Fe^{3+}$ (0.45mg/L). The ground water in the area is highly conductive. It is within the range of $(104 - 805) \,\mu\text{s/cm}$ except the water sample collected from the central part of the study area having conductivity 62µs/cm. The areal distribution of the hydrochemical parameters from the contour plot did not form a definite pattern on the basis of ionic classification. However, the depth of the well influences the concentration of some hydrochemical parameters notably Cl⁻, NO₃⁻, F⁻, SO_4^{2-} , Ca^{2+} , Mg2+, K^+ e.t.c. The regression analysis between conductivity and TDS indicate strong correlation with a correlation coefficient of 0.6119 whilst the conductivity and chloride regression analysis results indicate a weak correlation coefficient of 0.1156.

Key words: Conductivity, hydrochemical, in-situ measurement, anionic, cationic.

I. INTRODUCTION

Groundwater is an important source of water supply in many parts of the word. It is the water found beneath the crust and are always in continuous motion under the influence of some factors and forces. They find their way to the subsoil through percolation when the rainfalls, while some takes their channel from the crest of mountains and rocks. Among the forces causing water movement in the soil are the forces of gravity and capillary attraction. The underground circulation depends on how porous the soil is. Other factors influencing water flow are the topography of the ground layer and the fluctuation in the water table zones. In Nigeria, the groundwater accounts for about 63% of the nation drinking water supply (Hanson 2004)

In recent years this vital resource is endangered by multitude of chemical contaminants such as unregulated disposal of industrial wastes mainly from solid-waste disposal sites and storage tanks. The contamination occurs as a result of dissolved gases and ions embedded in the subsoil flowing water. The contaminant level varies from one place to the other due to the variation in some natural and artificial processes. Although, safety measure reduce the risk of ground water contamination, once such a contamination takes place, the clean-up operation is a difficult undertaking. This is primarily due to the highly of heterogeneous nature the subsurface environment and the complex interaction of the contaminants with the groundwater and the porous media.

The study of the physical process that govern the transport of the contaminant has its basis in the work of petroleum Engineers, where multiphase flow and transport was examined and first numerical simulation was carried out in the content of the oil recovery. The ability to predict the transport underground contaminants is one of the most challenging problems facing the hydro geologists. The overarching scientific issue is how we take scientific knowledge about the behavior of chemical and fluid obtained in the laboratory and that knowledge to predict accurately use contaminant movement through miles of soils and ground water over many years. As a result there is need to study the chemical parameters present in the underground water flow from one region to the other. This will be of hydrological importance in



understanding the net distribution and variation of physical and hydrochemical parameters.

Groundwater contaminants

Groundwater contaminants occur when unsaturated substance dissolves in groundwater and moves through the fracture of soil profiles to the saturated zone (Adekunle,2009). Various sources or the potential contamination include; sources designed to discharge substances e.g septic tanks, cesspoll and injection wells; sources designed to treat, store or dispose substances e.g landfills, open clumps, surface and subsurface storage tanks, radioactive waste disposal sites; sources designed to retain substance during transport e.g pipelines. Sources discharging substance in such a way that leaching of waste product is inevitable e.g. irrigation, pesticide/fertilizer applications, farm animal waste, urban runoff, percolation of atmospheric pollutants and naturally occurring sources created and enhanced by human activities e.g seawater, intrusion, saltwater up-coming and ground water surface interaction. In short, contamination is caused mainly from artificial and natural sources.

Natural Source

One of the natural sources of underground water contaminant is nitrogen. It occurs chiefly as a free element in the air making up about 78% by volume of the atmosphere. It gets dissolved with the underground water through the action of some bacteria living in the root nodules of leguminous plant by the process called nitrogen fixation. In the combined formed, nitrogen occurs abundantly in the earth crust as trioxonitrate (V) of Sodium, calcium as well as ammonium salt. Excessive rainfall or irrigation tend to leach nitrogen below the root zones and ultimately to the groundwater. Moreover some microbial activities also convert atmospheric nitrogen to nitrate through the process of nitrogen fixation. Furthermore, during the process of decaying, bacterial or buried plants and animal under the ground surface leading to the release of some toxic waste like methane and sulphuric gases. These wastes are soluble in water and may later exist as a carbonates or nitrates ions after the required element have been taken by the plants. The remaining parts may be easily washed down through the soil profile by leaching as a result a result of heavy rainfall and then deposited in the groundwater table to form ground water contaminants.

Consequently, different type of rocks present beneath the earth crust contains different composition due to their mode of formation. For example, limestone contains minerals called gypsum which is one of the major composition of cement. When this dissolves in water, it render the water unfit exist in the groundwater as contaminants. Moreover, many solid minerals in the earth crust exist as ores or as combination of nuclides – when any of these nuclides undergo radioactive decay, they produce ionizing radiation which has a side effect on living organisms (Adefemi&Awokunmi. 2010). When these elements or nuclides are then hydrolyze in water, they are transported down to the ground water table as a contaminants and then deposited permanently. Finally, pathogens which exist as soil fauna (virus, bacterial) which occur in sewage sludge, animal waste, when these pathogens are carried in suspension with water through soil, they are then deposited in the groundwater table to form ground

Artificial or manmade contaminant source.

Water pollution is a big problem in the present day world. It threatens aquatic life and changes water bodies into unsightly, foul-smelling scenes. It also affects health because of the harmful substances that accumulate in aquatic animals fed o by man. The main cause of water pollution is the indiscriminate dumping of solid and liquid wastes into water bodies. The common pollutants are as follows;

i. Refuse and sewage

water contaminants.

- ii. Industrial and Agricultural wastes
- iii. Crude oil spills e.t.c.

Statement of the problem

In the recent past, people of the local Government digging wells and bore-holes have not been taken into consideration the level and nature of the contaminant movement in the subsoil while sighting the location of the wells and bore-holes and this has resulted in people drinking water containing high level of Anionic and Cationic contaminants impacting negatively on the health of several people unknowingly.

Purpose of the study

The ability to predict the transport of underground contaminants is one of the most challenging problem facing the hydro geologist (Chukwu, 2008). The overarching scientific issue is how we take scientific knowledge about the behavior of chemical and fluid obtained in the laboratory and use the knowledge to predict accurately contaminant movement through miles of soil and ground water. As a result, there is need to study chemical parameters present in the

DOI: 10.35629/5252-030617511758 Impact Factor value 7.429 | ISO 9001: 2008 Certified Journal Page 1752



underground water flow from one location to the other. This will be of hydrological importance in understanding the net distribution and variation of physical and hydro chemical parameters within the local government and consequently help in sighting the location of the hand-dug wells and bore-holes to have a safe drinking water.

Methodology

Chemical analysis of groundwater, trace elements and isotope are important for characterizing water conducting features. In this study, groundwater survey were carried out in Okehi Local Government to assess the extent and concentration of hydro chemical parameters namely; Total dissolved solid (TDS), Total Suspended Solid (TSS), Chloride (Cl⁻), Fluoride (F), Bicarbonate (HCO_3^{-}) , Carbonate (CO_3^{2-}) , Sulphate (SO₄²⁻), Phosphate (PO₃⁴⁻), Sodium (Na⁺), Potassium (K^+) , Magnesium (Mg^{2+}) , and Iron (Fe^{3+}) .

Physical parameters such as colour, pH value, Turbidity, Electrical conductivity, well depth, and water column for both raining and dry season were also measured.

Reconnaissance survey

This involves taken the inventory of open and hand dug wells within the study area. The depth and water column were measured during rainy and dry season, samples were collected from the wells.

sampling

Twenty-two water samples were collected from open and hand dug wells spread within the locality of the area of study. The cleanliness of the container used for the collection of the samples were highly maintained by firstly rinsed with soap and detergent and finally with distilled water. The samples were preserved at the point of collection with tetraoxosulphate VI acid and trioxonitrate V acid to prevent the ions present in them from coagulation to the walls of the containers. The samples were also taken to the laboratory on the day of collection for analysis in order to identify and evaluate the concentration of hydrochemical parameters present in them.

Measurements

Two categories of measurement were carried out, namely; in-situ and laboratory measurement or analytical techniques. The in-situ are basically physical measurement which includes depth, water column and electrical well conductivity of the water samples. Depths and

water column were measured by tying a heavy stone suspended at zero end of the measuring tape and dipped into the well. The electrical conductivity was measured using conductivity digital metre.

Analytical techniques

This involves laboratory measurement for the concentration of the following hydrochemical parameters; Total dissolved solid (TDS), Chloride (CI), Fluoride (F), Bicarbonate (HCO₃), Carbonate (CO₃²⁻), Sulphate (SO₄²⁻), Phosphate (PO₃⁴⁻), Sodium (Na⁺), Potassium (K⁺), Magnesium (Mg²⁺), and Iron (Fe³⁺). Other laboratory measurements carried out are colour, pH value, and Turbidity.

Colour

The colour of the water samples was determined by visual comparison of its colour with the colour of known concentration of coloured solutions.

Turbidity

Turbidity of the samples were determined using a turbid meter HACH

Total Dissolved Solid and Total Suspended Solid

Total Dissolved Solid of samples was determined by centrifugal method.

- Mix sample well and fill a 50ml centrifuge tube to the mark and centrifuge the sample for 5 minutes
- Decant the supernatant liquid and pour in a pre-weighed platinum crucible
- Dry the liquid in an oven at 105°C for 1 hour
- Allow the crucible to cool and weigh. Repeat heating and cooling of crucible (for 15 minutes) until constant weight is attained Calculation

 $TDS = \frac{W2 - W1}{50} \times 100 \text{ mg/l.}$ Where W₁ weight of crucible, W₂ = constant weight of crucible with dried water.

Total Suspended Solid

Wash the residue in the centrifuge with a little distilled water into a pre- weigh crucible,

Dry the crucible with the residue in oven at 105oC for 1 hour

Allow the crucible to cool and weigh. Repeat the drying, cooling and weighing (for 15 minutes) until constant weight is obtained.

Calculation; $TSS = \frac{W2-W1}{50} \times 100 \text{ mg/l.}$ Where W_1 weight of crucible, W_2 = weight of crucible dried residue



Total Hardness

Total hardness was determined by titrimetric.

Alkalinity	OH	CO ³⁻	HCO ³⁻										
$\mathbf{P} = 0$	0	0	Т										
P <t 2<="" td=""><td>0</td><td>2P</td><td>T-2P</td></t>	0	2P	T-2P										
$\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{T}/2$	0	2P	0										
P>T/2	2P-T	2(T-P)	0										
P=T	Т	0	0										

Table 1: Determination of anions concentration

Table 2:	Determination	of cations	concentration

Cation	Stock solution	Fuel of AAS	Wavelength (nm)
Ca ⁺	Dissolve 2.417g CaCO ₃ in 1L distilled	Nitrous oxide-	422.7
	water. 1mL= 1.0mg	acetylene	
Mg^{2+}	Dissolve 10.136g MgSO ₄ 7H ₂ O in 1L	Air - acetylene	285.2
	distilled water 1mL= 1.0mg Mg		
Fe ³⁺	Dissolve 1.404g Fe(NH ₄)2(SO ₄ .6H ₂ O in	Air -acetelene	248.3
	conc.H ₂ SO ₄ and dilute to 1L with distilled		
	water 1mL=1mgFe		

Corrosivity ratio

From the results, the corrosivity ratio were calculated using Ryzner expression:

Corrosivity ratio = $\frac{0.028 \text{ Cl}^{-} + 0.02180 \text{ 4}^{2-}}{0.02 (\text{HCO} \text{ 3}^{-} + \text{CO} \text{ 3}^{2-})}$

NAMES OF THE AREAS WHERE THE SAMPLES WERE COLLECTED

1 Iku-ehi 6 E	ika-Adagu	11 Ahogede		16 Oh	ueta21	Apipa	
2 Oboroke	7 Abobo	12 Eb	ako		17 Oh	uepe22	Apamba
3 Obangede	8 Aguro13	Idarere	18	Ikaturu			
4 Okaito	9 Eika-Ehi14	Itakata	19	Ukako			
5 FCE	10 Eika-Eba	15 Obeiba		20 Ebal	koUvet	e	

II. ANALYSIS AND DISCUSSION OF RESULTS

The study area is a semi- urban area located within longitude $6^{\circ}81^{1}E$ and $6^{\circ}88^{1}E$ and latitude $7^{\circ}42^{1}N$ and $7^{\circ}49^{1}N$ north central Nigeria. There is presence of rocks surrounding the metropolis. The main source of water is open and dug wells and little boreholes.

Hydrological setting

The area consists of the relatively sand body, which underlain by the crystalline basement. The sandy deposit in some part of the area is found cemented well by the organic colloidal matter and hydrous iron oxide in the near surface layer at some places.

The major source of water are hand dug and open wells with abundant supply of water during the rainy season and with little or no water (< 1.0m column) during the dry season especially at Otite side of the study area. Natural shortage of water have occur due to weather conditions and geologic setting (e.g prolong drought and crystalline bedrock aquifers with low yields)

III. DISCUSSION OF RESULTS

The mean ionic dominance of both cations and anions are depicted in the table below

Table3 : Mean values of cations												
Cations	Mean value (mg/L)											
Ca ²⁺	37.68											
Na^+	25.23											
\mathbf{K}^{+}	10.65											
Mg^{2+}	4.13											
Fe ³⁺	0.45											

DOI: 10.35629/5252-030617511758 Impact Factor value 7.429 | ISO 9001: 2008 Certified Journal Page 1754



Table4 : Mean value of anions											
Anions	Mean value (mg/L)										
CO_3^{2-}	124.41										
Cl	65.86										
PO ₄ ²⁻	17.93										
SO_4^{2-}	14.41										
NO ₃	8.80										
F ⁻	0.11										

The above tables shows that the mean anionic dominance are in order of $CO_3^{2*}>CI^{>}PO_4^{2^*}$ > $SO_4^{2^*}>NO_3^{-}>F^*$, whereas, the mean cationic dominance are in order $Ca^{2+}>Na^+>K^+>Mg^{2+}>Fe^{3+}$. This shows that the area under investigation is highly conductive (104 - $805\mu s/cm$) with the exception of the water sample collected from the central part of the study area having $62\mu s/cm$.

IV. CONCLUSION

The areal distribution of the hydrological parameters from the plots did not form a definite

pattern on the basis of ionic classification. However, the depth of the well influences the concentration of hydrochemical parameters. For example from the graph, it is observed that as the well depth increases so also increased the concentration of sodium ion. The same observation is indicated for Ca^{2+} , SO_4^{2-} , and Mg^{2+} . Also from the plot the concentration of chloride increases at the point where there is decrease in the well depth e.gOboroke, Okaito and Eika-Adagu this assumption is also true for HCO₃⁻, F⁻, and Fe³⁺.

Sample	Cl	SO_4^{2-} (mg/L)	HCO ₃ ⁻ (mg/L)	Corrosivity ratio
-	(mg/L)			
1	20	3.4	43	0.934
2	128	30.8	210	1.007
3	83	2.1	65	1.822
4	148	15.8	160	1.399
5	23	3.0	70	0.505
6	126	9.1	152	1.223
7	35	25.7	81	0.938
8	58	13.4	53	1.798
9	64	4.6	47	2.009
10	44	15.9	195	0.402
11	43	5.5	95	0.694
12	46	7.3	155	0.465
13	78	13.4	71	1.736
14	60	14.3	46	2.153
15	80	3.1	195	0.591
16	82	5.8	57	2.121
17	60	79.7	210	0.799
18	60	4.9	444	0.201
19	50	3.3	115	0.693
20	51	47.8	153	0.795
21	20	5.5	75	0.450
22	90	2.7	45	0.889

Table5 :Corrosivity ratio







Table 6: concentration values of physico chemical parameters of the analysed samples

	TES		SAMPLES																				
	Т	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	2
											0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	0	1	2
1	Cond	1	8	5	4	1	7	2	3	4	2	3	1	4	3	1	4	2	3	3	5	6	2
	uctivi	8	0	6	2	4	9	8	3	4	8	5	3	7	4	0	0	8	8	3	8	2	3
	ty	2	5	4	7	4	3	6	1	2	8	5	0	3	5	4	0	2	6	7	7		8
	(s/cm																						
)																						
2	Colo	2	1	1	2	2	9	1	1	1	2	0	4	5	2	5	4	3	8	1	1	2	9
	ur	5	4	3	1	3		7	2		1		5	5	5	1	0	7	8	6	5		
	(Pt/C		6																				
	o)																						
3	Turbi	2	2	3	5	5	3	3	0	1	8	0	1	1	1	8	4	1	1	0	2	0	0
	dity		0																4				
	(FTU																						
	•																						



4)	2	2	0	7	4	0	0	0	~	6	2	0	4	_	4	7	0	4	0	6	0	0
4	TSS (mg/ L)	3	3	0	1	4	0	0	0	5	6	3	0	4	6	4	7	0	4	0	6	0	0
5	TDS (mg/ L)	1 1 0	5 0 1	3 2 7	2 4 8	3 8	4 5 8	1 5 6	1 8 9	2 6 7	1 7 3	2 0 7	8 2	2 7 2	1 9 5	6 1	2 4 2	1 6 1	2 2 3	1 8 5	3 6 2	4 1	1 4 3
6	Total Hard ness (mg/ L CaC O3)	82	1 7 4	84	1 1 8	1 0 8	1 6 1	1 0 2	1 1 2	84	2 1 8	1 1 0	6 0	1 4 2	1 1 4	1 1 4	84	8 5	80	8 6	7 6	1 1 6	8 6
7	Total alkali nity (mg/ L CaC O3)	43	2 1 0	6 5	1 6 0	7 0	1 5 2	8	53	4 7	1 9 5	9 5	1 5 5	7 1	4	4 6	5 7	2 1 0	4 4 4	1 1 5	1 5 3	7 5	45
8	Chlor ide (mg/ L)	2 0	1 2 8	8 3	1 4 8	2 3	1 2 6	3 5	5 8	6 4	4 4	4 3	4 6	7 8	6 0	8 0	8 2	6 0	6 0	5 0	5 1	2 0	2 1
9	Flour ide (mg/ L)	0. 1 8	0. 2 4	0. 1 1	0. 1 2	0. 0 8	0. 2 2	0. 2 5	0. 0 6	0. 0 5	0. 0 0	0 1 3	0 1 5	0. 0 5	0 0 3	0. 0 1	0 0 0	0. 1 4	0 2 6	0. 2 0	0. 2 3	0. 0 0	0. 1 5
1 0	Nitrat e (mg/ L)	7. 9	8. 6	9. 5	8. 7	6. 9	1 4. 1	8. 2	8. 1	7. 7	7. 6	6 5	9 2	6. 4	1 5 2	7. 8	9 3	6. 9	1 3 5	7. 1	6. 6	8. 6	8. 1
1 1	Hydr oxide (mg/ CaC O3)	0. 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0. 0 0	0 0 0	0. 0 0	0 0 0	0. 0 0	0 0 0	0. 0 0	0. 0 0	0. 0 0	0. 0 0									
1 2	Bicar bonat e (mg/ CaC O3)	43	2 1 0	6 5	1 6 0	7 0	1 5 2	8 1	5 3	4 7	1 9 5	9 5	1 5 5	7 1	4	1 9 5	5 7	2 1 0	4 4 4	1 1 5	1 5 3	7 5 5	8 2
1 3	Carb onate (CaC O3)	0. 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0. 0 0	0 0 0	0. 0 0	0 0 0	0. 0 0	0 0 0	0. 0 0	0. 0 0	0. 0 0	0. 0 0									
1 4	Sulph ate (mg/ L)	3. 4	3 0. 8	2. 1	1 5. 8	3. 0	9. 1	2 5. 7	1 3. 4	4. 6	1 5. 9	5 5	7 3	1 3. 4	1 4 3	3. 1	5 8	7 9. 7	4 9	3. 3	4 7. 8	5. 5	5. 6
1 5	Sodiu m (mg/	3 4	4 0	1 6	4 0	2 0	3 6	2 4	2 8	1 6	5 4	3 2	1 6	2 2	2 4	1 8	1 5	1 6	1 6	1 4	3 0	2 6	1 8

DOI: 10.35629/5252-030617511758 Impact Factor value 7.429 | ISO 9001: 2008 Certified Journal Page 1757



	L)																						
1	Potas	8.	1	3.	5.	1.	8.	1.	3.	2.	1	3	2	6.	3	2.	2	2.	5	5.	6.	5.	2.
6	sium	0	3	0	0	5	0	3	4	1	5.			5		9		5		5	5	3	9
	(mg/		7								5	8	3		8		5						
	L)																						
1	Calci	3	6	3	2	3	6	3	3	3	7	3	2	5	3	3	3	2	3	3	2	4	2
7	um	1	8	2	5	7	2	6	2	0	9	8	2	0	7	1	2	9	0	1	8	0	9
	(mg/																						
	L)																						
1	Magn	1.	1.	1.	1	4.	2.	2.	9.	2.	5.	4	1	5.	6	6.	1	3.	1	2.	1.	4.	4.
8	esiu	2	1	7	6	6	0	9	2	8	2			5		4		1		8	2	6	0
	m											0	1		3		7		1				
	(mg/																						
	L)																						
1	Iron	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0	0	0.	0	0.	0	0.	0	0.	0.	0.	0.
9	(mg/	3	4	5	5	4	3	4	2	5	5			4		4		4		4	4	3	4
	L)	9	8	2	6	4	5	5	6	6	5	5	4	8	3	5	4	4	5	9	4	6	4
												8	1		2		1		1				

REFERENCES

- [1]. Adefemi, S.O &Awokunmi, E.E (2010). Determination of hydrochemical and heavy metals in water samples from Itaogbolu area of Ondo State Nigeria.
- [2]. African Journal of Environmental Science and Technology, 4(3), 145-148
- [3]. Adekunle, A.S (2009). Effects of industrial effluents on quality of well water withinAsa Dam industrial Estates; Ilorin, Nigeria. Journal of Nature Of Science, 7(1).
- [4]. Chukwu, G.U. (2008). Water quality assessment of Boreholes in Umuahia North Local Government of Abia State, Nigeria, pacific Journal of Science and Tech nology. 9 (2), 590-596